RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
1. (a) Corporate Management Team (MAT) and Lead Committee	1.(a) HOUSING – DEVELOPMENT & TARGETS (i) Failing to deliver housing development targets could lead to a loss of Spelthorne's overall control as the Local Planning Authority, resulting in key decision making undertaken by other organisations. (ii) The Council is not able to deliver the Local Plan by the required timeframes, increasing the risk of unsuitable planning applications being submitted. Where planning applications have been refused but subsequently approved on appeal, this	Supporting Communities Affordable Housing Service Delivery		Housing Delivery Programme with Benwell Phase 1 completed and 100% occupied (September 2021), and West Wing Occupied (Jan 22). Exploring other development opportunities within the borough. Benwell Phase 2 planning application rejected on 13 th October. Annual Housing Delivery Test Action Plan went to E&S committee on 9 November 2021 setting out how the Council is looking to increase housing delivery. It was also considered by Planning Committee on 5 January 2022. Strategy for the revised Local Plan agreed by the E&S committee on 13 July 2021 plus a revised timetable to adoption of summer 2023. This programme is still on track. An Annual Planning Performance report is going to E & S committee in March
	also has financial implications arising from developer claims to the Council.			2022 – highlighting the risk around the number of overturns of major applications which are then allowed on appeal. If the 10% figure is breached,

RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
(iii)Delays in completing property development schemes, in particular the adverse impact of the self-imposed Staines moratorium, with significant repercussions for delivery of housing schemes and targets (both affordable housing and general housing). Key development schemes within Staines-upon-Thames have been held up by the Moratorium, presenting increased delivery costs because of construction inflation. Non-development costs (approx. 1.2m over last year) have resulted in significant adverse financial impact for the Council.		SCORE	then the Council would exceed the government 'designation' threshold. The Inland Homes application for several hundred units was allowed on appeal on 17 January 2022. The appellant did not go for an award of costs. Weekly progress reporting of Property Development projects to officer Development Investment Group (DIG). Bi-monthly Assets Portfolio Working Group (with Chair and Vice of both CPRC and Development Sub-Committee) meetings are being held. A Moratorium was originally imposed (by Cabinet) on Council schemes within Staines town centre on 21 January 2021. A further report was considered by the CPR committee on 19 January 2022 where it agreed that the Moratorium was no longer in effect (as the three requirements had been fulfilled). The one-year delay has cost £105k per
adverse impact on both			month so £1.2m over the period the Moratorium has been in place.
	(iii)Delays in completing property development schemes, in particular the adverse impact of the self-imposed Staines moratorium, with significant repercussions for delivery of housing schemes and targets (both affordable housing and general housing). Key development schemes within Staines-upon-Thames have been held up by the Moratorium, presenting increased delivery costs because of construction inflation. Non-development costs (approx. 1.2m over last year) have resulted in significant adverse financial impact for the Council. (iv)Delays in political decision making have an	(iii)Delays in completing property development schemes, in particular the adverse impact of the self-imposed Staines moratorium, with significant repercussions for delivery of housing schemes and targets (both affordable housing and general housing). Key development schemes within Staines-upon-Thames have been held up by the Moratorium, presenting increased delivery costs because of construction inflation. Non-development costs (approx. 1.2m over last year) have resulted in significant adverse financial impact for the Council. (iv)Delays in political decision making have an	(iii)Delays in completing property development schemes, in particular the adverse impact of the self-imposed Staines moratorium, with significant repercussions for delivery of housing schemes and targets (both affordable housing and general housing). Key development schemes within Staines-upon-Thames have been held up by the Moratorium, presenting increased delivery costs because of construction inflation. Non-development costs (approx. 1.2m over last year) have resulted in significant adverse financial impact for the Council. (iv)Delays in political decision making have an

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	timeliness and direction of Development schemes. Expanding the specification of properties generates more costs to Development schemes and consequently impacts the financial viability of schemes. (v) Inadequate strategic direction for Knowle Green Estates (KGE) in the provision of the Council's Housing delivery programme presents risks of failing to meet wider housing needs within the borough (beyond affordable housing), impacting effective delivery of housing development targets.			Viability assessments for a range of options completed for Whitehouse residential and Thameside House, Sub-Committee further considering the viability options following referral to Council. Regular advisory reports to Members/Committees to inform decision making, setting out development options and associated implications for the Council and local communities. Paper to go to March 2022 CPRC setting out options for repatriating surplus funds from KGE over the long term.
1.(b) Corporate Management Team (MAT) and Lead Committee	1.(b) HOUSING – AFFORDABLE	Affordable Housing Supporting Communities	RED Refer to the Risk Matrix	Housing Delivery Programme. Affordable Housing provision extends beyond those development schemes acquired by Spelthorne Borough Council

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	TION CORPORATE PRIORITY RAG & RISK RATING SCORE		CURRENT KEY CONTROLS	
	(i)Lack of affordable housing	Service Delivery		(direct delivery). Collaborative working	
	supply increases			with Registered Social Landlords and	
	homelessness			Partners to pursue delivery of	
				affordable housing need; S106	
	(ii)Housing provision (types			agreements with Developers.	
	of units) does not meet local				
	resident needs or address			Homelessness Reduction Act – proactive	
	client special needs for			measures taken to address risk of	
	accommodation			homelessness. Single person homeless	
	/iii) The Demostic Abuse Act			facility with 27 beds and 4 move on units delivered in October with	
	(iii) The Domestic Abuse Act presents additional			residents moved in January 2022.	
	pressures and demand as			Facility managed by Thames	
	there is a requirement for			Metropolitan Housing.	
	victims of domestic abuse to			Wetropolitan Housing.	
	be prioritised and rehoused			Measures to support Rough Sleepers	
	in secure accommodation			and Afghan refugee families under the	
	(parameters of what			relocation and assistance scheme	
	constitutes domestic abuse			(Home Office Funding of temporary	
	expanded under latest			accommodation for refugees)	
	legislation)			Under the ARAP scheme two Afghan	
	,			families have been found	
	(iv) Managing demand for			accommodation (private sector	
	social housing is extremely			landlords) on a medium-term basis and	
	challenging given that			receiving support. Government funding	
	anyone with a local			to assist vulnerable families in rent	

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	connection can apply for housing to any Council.			arrears and who are at threat of eviction.
	(v) Government lifting of the temporary eviction ban and removal of the temporary uplift to Universal credit payments may lead to more			Housing and Homelessness Strategies. Quarterly monitoring of Strategic Action Plan. Regular review by officer Strategic Housing Group.
	homelessness cases and increased demand for social housing The above risks and evolving individual circumstances			Surrey reciprocal arrangement to rehouse applicants across boroughs where local connection confirmed. Collaborative initiatives with Surrey County Council (e.g., Drug and alcohol abuse)
	lead to increased costs and pressure on the Council's Housing Service in responding to homelessness and growing numbers on the Housing register, impacting delivery of			Monitoring of Housing Register levels (currently approx. 3,000 households on the register). Review of allocation policy is taking place with a view to adding greater efficiency to the housing register process.
	affordable housing provision across the borough. If fundamental housing needs are not met/maintained this may have a negative impact			Counter Fraud data matching initiative being pursued with the objective of identifying potential social housing fraud, to free up social housing for those in genuine need.

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	on the health and wellbeing of individuals/families.			Community Wellbeing approved a revised Tenancy Strategy and recommended to Corporate Policy & Resources Committee for consideration on 15 th November, moving the affordable rental percentage from 80% to 70%. After consideration CPRC on grounds of viability decided to retain affordable rental percentage at 80%. Approx. £35m proposed via Knowle Green Estates to purchase and manage readymade properties included as part of the revised draft Capital Programme.
2.Corporate Management Team (MAT) and Lead	2. ECONOMY Evolving national economic	Supporting Communities Recovery from Covid	Red Refer to the Risk Matrix	Refer also to separate briefing report prepared by the Economic Development team reported to the March 2021 Audit
Committee	position, previous pandemic lockdowns and changes to travel rules (across global destinations as well as inbound) continue to have an impact on economic wellbeing locally (proximity to Heathrow airport which represents a key local			Committee. Periodical review and reporting of the Economic Development strategy. ED strategy is due for a complete refresh by June 2022 (to cover 2022 - 2027). Economic Development elements of the Recovery Plan includes quick wins and

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	employer), nationally and globally. The risk implications and threats to the Council are: • Residual risk to be accepted - whilst Council actions aim to support and influence sustained economic recovery, these alone cannot control outcomes due to the macroeconomic environment. • Significant longer term reduction in income (fees and charges, such as Parking and retail letting of the Elmsleigh Centre) with decreased footfall in the town centres and uncertainty around			longer-term actions to support local businesses, town centres, communities during and beyond the pandemic. Regular reporting on actions completed at each Economic Development Committee. COVID-19 overarching Recovery plan takes account of post-Brexit implications. Fortnightly monitoring of Business Rates and Council Tax collection rates as part of monitoring impacts of COVID-19. Government assisted scheme to support increased fuel and energy bills. Financial support for businesses through Government schemes, with restart grants issued up to July 2021. Additional Restriction Grant (ARG) available to facilitate and support businesses up to end of March 2022, with £770k remaining at 16.3.22. This will directly influence business activity and contribute positively to the recovery of the local economy. ARG Task Group set up to specifically
	future consumer			consider how to best spend the

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	activity patterns. This will continue to impact the Council's finances. • An increased level of business failures impacts economic prosperity of the borough and effects collection rates for business rate income. • Consumer led demand places increased pressure on supply chains, leading to inflation, rising prices and		SCORE	remaining monies to ensure businesses benefit the most in terms of recovery and future growth. Councillors have provided some very valuable input on where the monies should be spent. Dedicated ED committee to consider Economic Development matters – role includes scrutiny of budgets and grant spend. Funding secured (£100,000) from DWP for a Youth Hub for 18 – 24-year-olds to assist with job employability. Hub began operating from Sunbury Business Incubator in January 2022. Officially launched 4 March 2022.
	increased living costs (energy and fuel crisis is particularly significant) impacting businesses, Council services and residents.			

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
3. Corporate Management Team (MAT) and Lead Committee	3. FINANCIAL RESILIENCE AND COMMERCIAL ASSETS The pandemic has increased the Council's exposure to financial risk, with possible implications for the investment portfolio, including loss of anticipated rental income from commercial assets and poor investment returns. This may impact on the financial position, leading to the Council becoming financially unsustainable with associated reputational damage.	Recovery from Covid	Amber Refer to the Risk Matrix	Investment performance is monitored bi-monthly at weekly Assets Portfolio Working Group), and a quarterly performance report is produced for the Investment Portfolio. Fortnightly Asset Portfolio Working meetings are taking place to monitor income (investment portfolio doing very well - so far 99.6% of rental invoiced for 2020-21 collected, and 99.8% of rent for last four quarters collected including the most recent quarter day). 95% of overall investment portfolio let. Due Diligence measures continue for tenant management. Ten year worst and best case sinking fund scenario projections are reviewed on a weekly basis and will be shortly extended to a 20-year time frame. Development Sub-Committee approved a methodology for Business Plans for Investment Assets. Detailed business plans are under development for each investment asset.

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK D	ESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
					Capital Strategy includes key performance indicators with a particular focus on the investment portfolio. Refreshed Capital Strategy considered at 7 February CPR Committee. The Asset Management plan (which is reviewed annually) sets out key control measures. Revised Asset Management Plan approved by Corporate Policy and Resources. Development Sub Committee of Corporate Policy and Resources receives regular monitoring reports for scrutiny. Annual Performance Report considered at Development Sub on 9 August 2021. CIPFA FM Code self-assessment and Member reporting. LGA Finance Peer Review undertaken in late 2020 with action plan put in place to address recommendations. Associated monitoring and review.
4. Corporate Management Team		IANCIAL RESILIENCE D SUPPORTING	Supporting Communities	Amber Refer to the	Medium term financial strategy refreshed as part of the Budget process
(MAT) and Lead Committee	CO	MMUNITIES	Recovery from Covid	Risk Matrix	for 2022-23. Outline Budget report approved by December CPRC meeting.

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY RAG & R RATING SCORE		CURRENT KEY CONTROLS		
	(i)Increased financial pressures faced by the Council arising from the impact of the Covid-19 pandemic (reduced fee income and tax base) and increased costs including financial support to the Leisure Centres) could impact service delivery, leading to a negative impact on the Borough's residents and communities (economic, social, physical, and mental wellbeing). Ongoing financial pressures could lead to the Council becoming financially unsustainable. (ii) The risks referred to in section 4(i) above combined	Service Delivery	SCORE	CPRC put forward proposals for a balanced budget for 22-23 (and years 23-24 to 25-26 also balanced). Full Council approved budget on 24.2.22. Fully refreshed Reserves Strategy (in line with Peer Review) approved by 7th February CPRC. Delta returns submitted to capture and reclaim costs of COVID-19 across the Authority. Financial impact assessment modelling. Independent peer led review of the Council's approach to finance undertaken in 2020/21. Update on Peer Review Action Plan and CIPFA Financial Management Self-Assessment is periodically reported to the Audit Committee.		
	with impact of the Moratorium and slowing down of housing delivery programme, plus rising inflationary pressures, have					

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP			_	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	resulted in the Budget process being the most challenging one for more than half a decade.			
5. Corporate Management Team (MAT) and Lead Committee	5. TREASURY MANAGEMENT If the Council receives a reduced return on long term investments and/or investments become insecure in the current / future economic climate, then this will have an adverse impact on the Council's financial position, weakening financial resilience	Recovery from Covid Service Delivery	Amber Refer to the Risk Matrix	Treasury Management Strategy for 2022-23 approved by Members. Application of updated CIPFA Code of Practice and Prudential and Treasury Management Codes. Performance measurement and reporting, with mid-year report to Corporate Policy and Resources Committee on 29 November 2021. On track (at March 2022) with meeting Prudential indicators and fair favourably on investments compared to other Council's. Aim to select counter parties of the highest credit quality. Credit ratings monitored. Council's investments managed internally in consultation with advisors Arlingclose. Explore options for diversifying the portfolio.

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP		RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS	
					Fixed interest rate on most debt and for investments an interest equalisation reserve is in place. Committee System of governance for decisions. Training delivered for new Financial Management Code.	
6.	Corporate	6. SUSTAINABILITY &	Environment and Climate	Red	Council declared Climate Change	
	Management Team	CLIMATE CHANGE	Change	Refer to the	Emergency in October 2020.	
	(MAT) and Lead			Risk Matrix	Council's 2020-21 Budget includes	
	Committee	Climate change represents a			£747k specifically ring-fenced for Green	
		significant global threat			Initiatives. Environment and	
		driving social and economic			Sustainability Committee of January	
		disruption with far ranging			2022 agreed framework for prioritising	
		consequences for			bids to the Green Initiatives provision.	
		socioeconomic stability.			Better neighbourhood grants have been	
		Climate change and extreme			doubled for 21-22 to £2,000 to support	
		weather events impact on			grass-root green initiatives.	
		health and safety, food				
		systems, supply chains &			Government targets for reducing carbon	
		procurement, economic			emissions / greenhouse gases to tackle	
		productivity, and losses. Due			climate change.	
		to climate change, there is a			It is expected the Environment and	
		specific risk to the Borough			Sustainability Committee under the new	
		of Spelthorne in terms of			governance model will continue to	
		more extreme heat and			explore ways to meet a carbon neutral	

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	increased flooding, besides			target by 2050 or earlier and to make
	the more global threats such			recommendations on how to apply the
	as severe storms (threat to			£747k fund and see climate change as
	loss of life and limb)			an issue that needs to permeate all
	impacting locally. If the			Council areas to ensure we reduce our
	Council is not pursuing			carbon footprint and adapt to climate
	measures or seeking			change.
	positive opportunities to			Officers are working in the spirit of
	mitigate and adapt to			ethical and social responsibility to
	climate change, it could			reduce the Council's carbon footprint.
	result in criticism/bad			Sustainability Strategy; Energy & Water
	press/public demonstration			Efficiency Policy (2015 - 2020).
	and additional			Professional group membership such as
	organisational pressures			Surrey Energy & Sustainability
	may result in a loss of focus			Partnership (SESP) and Association of
	around climate change and			Lead Energy Officers (ALEO).
	green initiatives. A lack of			Implementing energy efficiency
	preparedness for the			measures in Council owned buildings.
	impacts of climate change			Increasing renewables capacity.
	may lead to Council Services			Officers working with relevant
	no longer being sustainable			Committees and Treasury Management
	or in a suitable position to			advisers on how we can align the
	operate in the future.			Council's cash investments, so they
				focus on a more environmentally and
				socially sustainable basis. We have
				asked our advisers to bring forward by
				autumn 2022 a plan with timescales as

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
				to how we transition our portfolio to a more sustainable basis.
7. Corporate Management Team (MAT) and Lead Committee	7. CORPORATE CAPACITY, RESOURCES, RECRUITMENT AND RETENTION (i)Overstretched capacity exacerbated by the additional workload, new Committee system of governance and demands arising from the pandemic and recovery (as well as the departure of several experienced staff) could lead to increased staff fatigue / burnout / sickness levels arising. This may impact further on employee stress levels and mental / physical wellbeing, which may also lead to reductions in expected service delivery.	ALL PRIORITIES	Amber Refer to the Risk Matrix	Management to address workload issues and need to remain alert to stress related risks. With additional projects with tight deadlines such as Staines Health and Wellbeing Centre, Cultural Development Fund, supporting Afghan families, delivering Whitehouse Hostel and Harper House, producing viability proposals, implementing under tight timescales scheme to pay out Household Support Fund grants, applying the Budget process to the Committee system, undertaking work in connection with around 60 Motions agreed by Council, work to address the moratorium as just a few examples, workload pressures have been intense. Monitoring of sickness absence providing corporate view of stress issues. To assist staff can access welfare information on Intranet. Support is available for mental and emotional

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	(ii)Residual risk refers to the			wellbeing including mental health first
	risk that remains after			aiders and Care First. This scheme has
	control processes and			been promoted through regular staff
	measures to eliminate some			communications. The need to treat
	or all the inherent risks have			colleagues respectfully and look after
	been made. It could be			each other has been recognised and
	deemed that due to the			communicated. New corporate values
	nature of the risk set out at			under the acronym PROVIDE form part
	7i above with reference to			of the refreshed Corporate Plan.
	causes and consequences,			Council 2021-22 Budget included small
	as well as the continuation			staff pay increase to maintain Council's
	of intense work pressures,			competitiveness with other local
	some residual risk may			Councils. A 2% pay increase approved
	continue. In acknowledging			for 22/23 (24.2.22) plus an extra 0.5%
	this, the Council may wish to			for staff on Grades 1 to 5.
	either:			Growth bids for additional resourcing
	-Do nothing on the basis			form part of annual budget process,
	that the controls have			with 17 FTE approved for 2022/23.
	proven effective enough to			Whilst recruitment is underway to
	reduce the risk to an			address resource needs in certain areas,
	acceptable level, OR			it is becoming more difficult to recruit
	-Increase or modify controls			into technical roles. For several posts
	to reduce the risk to a level			recently advertised there are few or no
	that is regarded acceptable,			suitable candidates applying. This could
	OR			impact on resourcing and further
	-Evaluate the cost of			stretch staff already under considerable
	revised control and			workload pressures.

RISK REFERENCE & OWNERSHIP	RISK DESCRIPTION	CORPORATE PRIORITY	RAG & RISK RATING SCORE	CURRENT KEY CONTROLS
	mitigation measures vs benefits and whether this is deemed necessary to bring the risk to an acceptable level (iii) Unsuccessful recruitment and unfilled vacancies lead to a reduced level of technical skills and relevant expertise operating across Services. Staff shortages further exacerbate workload pressures across teams. The consequences of this risk are set out earlier at 7i. (iv) Ineffective or inappropriate recruitment could result in appointments that fail to effectively meet business need leading to reduced service quality.			Collaborative working and Partnerships being explored with a view to enhancing organisational and service resilience, promoting career opportunities and staff retention measures. Working group in place.

Risk Scoring Matrix

This is the matrix that is used across the Council

Red risks require prompt, planned management action

Amber risks require planned management action

Green risks are accepted risks

		Likelihood			
		1 (Rare)	2 (Unlikely)	3 (Likely)	4 (Almost certain)
	(Medium) 1 (Trivial)				
Impact	3 (Major) 2			3; 4; 5	1 (a); 1 (b); 7
	4 (Catastrophic)			2, 6	

How risks are scored:

We score risks, with their current controls in place, for likelihood and impact as shown below:

Score	Impact	Likelihood (over 4 years or timescale deemed appropriate)	
1	Trivial	Rare (once)	
2	Medium	Unlikely (a few times / less than annual)	
3	Major	Likely (several times / more than annual	
4	Catastrophic	Almost certain (many times a year)	

Impact can be measured in many ways and will be specific to what you are assessing, but the most common are on objectives, finance, and reputation.